

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/813,148 03/30/2004 2292.01 **Bobby Patrick Ramirez** 5426 **EXAMINER** 32603 7590 08/19/2005 E. RANDALL SMITH, PC LAYNO, BENJAMIN 2777 ALLEN PARKWAY ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 800 HOUSTON, TX 77019 3711

DATE MAILED: 08/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		€
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/813,148	RAMIREZ, BOBBY PATRICK
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Benjamin H. Layno	3711
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. Apply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·	
· <u> </u>	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	•	•
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-21 is/are witho 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan rection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. The sents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/813,148 Page 2

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 10-21, drawn to method of play, classified in class 273, subclass
 292.
- Claims 1-9, drawn to game apparatus, classified in class 273, subclass
 297.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus cards can be used to as educational flash cards in teaching students the buying and selling in business.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Randall Smith on 08/09/05 a provisional election was made with no indication of traverse to prosecute the invention of the game apparatus, claims 1-9. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying

to this Office action. Claims 10-21 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Drawings Required

5. There are no drawings. According to 37 CFR 1.83(a), very feature mentioned in the claims must be shown in the drawings. Therefore, **drawing are required**, and the drawings must show the "Shop" cards, "Product" cards, "Account" cards, "Problem" cards "Problem Removal" cards, "Hand Over" cards "Protection" cards, "Pay Off" cards, "simulated product is drugs". These cards must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). **No new matter should be entered**.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-3 and 5-9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Caines.

The patent to Caines discloses a game involving the sale of product (property) for value (\$) and accumulation of value from simulated sale, page 3, lines 44-46. The game is played by a plurality of players with a plurality of cards. The game comprises a first plurality of cards, "improvement cards" Figs. 4A – 4F, representing a player's ability

Application/Control Number: 10/813,148

Art Unit: 3711

to sell products for value, wherein a property must be improved with an improvement card to increase the value of the property and increasing player's ability to sell the product (property). A second plurality of cards, property cards Figs. 3A – 3F represent one or more simulated products having value. A third plurality of cards, money Fig. 6A - 6B, represent one or more simulated depository "Bank Of Prosperity" for simulating the safekeeping of value obtained. A fourth plurality of cards, "Removal Cards" Fig. 4G. represent problems hindering a player's ability to sell the product (property), page 7. lines 43-47. A fifth plurality of cards having the directions "You may improve a property already on the market by attaching a further improvement card" Fig. 5C, removes the problem represented by the fourth plurality of cards. A sixth plurality of cards having the directions "You may purchase a property displayed in an opponents window at market price" Fig. 5C, causes a first player to obtain to a product (property) card from a second player. A plurality of seventh cards have the direction "Pay Surveyor's fees of \$4000", "Pay bank interest of \$5000", etc. Fig. 5D, which players must follow. An eight plurality of cards having the directions "Go On Holiday miss your next throw" Fig. 5A, causes a player to skip the next turn. A ninth plurality of cards "Devaluation Cards" Fig. 4H, caused the reduction of a player's recorded score, page 7, lines 48-60.

Page 4

Printed Matter

8. The only difference between "Shop" cards, "Product" cards, "Account" cards, "Problem" cards "Problem Removal" cards, "Hand Over" cards "Protection" cards, "Pay Off" cards, "simulated product is drugs" of the claimed invention, and Caines' cards

Art Unit: 3711

recited above resides in the meaning and information conveyed by printed matter. Such differences are considered unpatentable, *Ex parte Breslow*, 192 USPQ 431.

In *Gulack*, the Court concluded that the claimed printed matter should be given patentable weight because there was a functional relationship between the printed matter and the substrate, in that the printed matter was an endless sequence of digits and the substrate was an endless band, such that the band "presented the digits as an endless sequence with no discrete beginning or end." *Gulack, 703 F.d. at 1382, 217 USPQ2d at 402*. By contrast, in the present case, there is no functional relationship between the substrate (cards) and the matter in question ("Shop", "Product", Account", "product is drugs" etc.) printed on it. The cards in the present case merely serves the same purpose as Caines' cards, namely, it provides a substrate or support for the indicia such that the indicia can be displayed for the convenience of the players.

Therefore, the printed matter ("Shop", "Product", Account", "product is drugs" etc). recited in claims 2 and 6 do not patentably distinguish cards in the present invention from Caines' cards proposed by the Examiner.

Game Rules In Apparatus Claims

9. In claim 1, the recitations "whereby a player must play at least one of said first play at least one of said first plurality of cards to be able to simulate the sale of a product", "whereby a player must play at least one of said third plurality of cards to simulate the accumulation of value from the simulated sale of product", "whereby when at least one of the fourth plurality of cards is played against....", "whereby a player can

Page 6

remove the at least one simulated problem.....", are all rules of play. Furthermore, in claim 3, "wherein at least one of said second plurality of cards is placed with one of said first plurality of cards....", and "wherein a second player may play at least one among a sixth plurality of cards against the first player to cause...." are all rules of play. Most of claims 4-9 recite rules of play. In game apparatus claims, only the claimed elements having physical structure, (e.g. first plurality of cards, second plurality of cards, etc.) are given patentable weight. Game rules, however, have no physical structure per se.

Thus, game rules have no limiting affect in game apparatus claims.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Kaiser, Darrow, and Terrilli disclose games having cards wherein players buy and sell products.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin H. Layno whose telephone number is (571) 272-4424. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 1st Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on (571)272-4415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Benjamin H. Layno Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3711

bhl